



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 100000815

Vol. 52 No. 16

22nd April 2016

IN THIS ISSUE

Arrium Steelwork Closure and National Sovereignty	1
The War on Savings: The Panama Papers, Bail-Ins and the Push to Go Cashless by Ellen Brown	2
Some Thoughts About Inheritance by Jeremy Lee	4
Local Currencies by Louis Cook	7
Political Correctness Versus Freedom of Religion by Ian Wilson LL.B.	7
Living in a Really Small House is a Metaphor For Life by Uncle Len who still loves his shed	8

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: ANZACS BETRAYED! It happens every year. The bands play. Old comrades meet; ministers of religion make pious resolutions about war, but never mention the economic whip, which is flaying the major powers to such a fury at the present time. The Anzacs will march again, their ranks now sadly depleted by the passage of time and by the present rotten system, which takes its toll in times of peace as well as times of war. In spite of the fact that these men fought the “war to end wars,” and were promised, “a land fit for heroes to live in,” they have been betrayed. They have been betrayed by our present society, which masquerades under the name of democracy - by you and me, and our fellow-citizens. They did not fight to make the world “safe for democracy.” It was all for the purpose of making the world safe for finance, and those who control it. Wars have their origin in the present financial system. That system caused the last war. It threatens to plunge us into the maelstrom again.

The World's Greatest Confidence Trick by ED Butler - *The New Times* April 21 1939

ARRIUM STEELWORKS CLOSURE AND NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY

Dear Mr. Koutsantonis (*South Australian Treasurer*)

Over recent days I have listened to your continued support of Arrium, together with your summary of meetings with the banks, the administrator and employee representatives and offer my support for your efforts. Thank you. I apologise for the length of the enclosed letters. However, I am of the firm opinion the predicament of Arrium is symptomatic of far greater issues within our Nation. Arrium is simply the latest casualty; and I (*also -ed*) note the foreshadowed closure of the Electrolux plant at Orange with its last refrigerator being produced today.

I AM SURE YOU WILL BE AWARE THE COMMONWEALTH GOVERNMENT IS CURRENTLY FUNDING ITS OWN OPERATIONS BY BORROWING FROM THE R.B.A. \$100 MILLION WEEKLY.

(The Federal Government issue bonds to private banks to meet current expenditure - \$100 Million per week - from which the private banks then loan money, created from nothing and charging interest, back to the Government -ed)

Thus building the National debt by One Billion every ten weeks. Yet it has the hide to be critical of the “efficiency of local industry”.... The Free Trading, Global Village Idiot Mantra.

<http://www.abc.net.au/news/2014-06-12/joe-hockey-one-billion-a-month-interest-fact-check/5478480>

The capacity of the National Mint to provide IMMEDIATE relief should be obvious. *(It can print the money into existence rather than borrow from private banks incurring debt - Sect 51 (xii.) Australian Constitution -ed)* The fundamental difference from using RESERVE BANK OF AUSTRALIA finance *(via redeemable Bonds incurring interest -ed)* is that there is no compounding National Debt and associated interest being incurred. Obviously, the Board of the R.B.A. and private banks will express grave concern and threaten reprisals. But sooner or later, their immense power and complete lack of care for individual people, businesses and Nations should be obvious. The creation, control and distribution of “Credit” is as old as humanity, as has been the drive to deprive credit creation and distribution from sovereign Nations. Whilst the solution for Arrium, [and our Nation] is relatively simple, the repercussions that would flow from challenging the Reserve Banking System would be most substantial.

SOONER OR LATER A STAND AGAINST THE DEVASTATING POWER OF COMPOUNDING DEBT AND INTEREST MUST BE MADE.

ARRIUM IS NOTHING MORE THAN THE LATEST CASUALTY. ARRIUM MUST BE DEFENDED.

Yours Sincerely, *PW Davis, Port Lincoln, SA*

LOSING OUR ECONOMIC INHERITANCE: It's Not Just Whyalla That is in Trouble: EU subsidises the Chinese steel which is decimating British industry by Marco Giannangeli

BRITISH taxpayers have been forced to subsidise the very Chinese steel companies that are threatening 40,000 UK jobs, critics say. It comes after revelations that the European Investment Bank has given so-called "soft loans" to China of £80million as part of a climate policy intended to lower emissions. The astonishing figures include a loan of £40million to one of the world's worst "steel dumping" culprits, the Wuhan Iron & Steel Corporation.

To add insult to injury Wuhun, the world's eighth largest steel producer, boasts the Chinese state as its main shareholder. Wuhun is such a prolific steel dumper that it has now been especially targeted by the European

Commission, which wants to slap it with 36.6 per cent tariffs.

The loan was paid out under the China Climate Change Framework Loan II. The money is supposed to persuade the steel giants to invest in lower emission technology.

Furious critics last night pointed out the irony that the loan was concerned with reducing the cost of power generation while one of the complaints of Tata Group is the high cost of energy associated with its steel production operation in South Wales.

Read the full story here: <http://www.express.co.uk/news/uk/659627/EU-loaning-millions-Chinese-steel-companies-40000-UK-jobs>

LOSING OUR FINANCIAL INHERITANCE:

The War on Savings: The Panama Papers, Bail-Ins and The Push to Go Cashless by Ellen Brown

Exposing tax dodgers is a worthy endeavor, but the "limited hangout" of the Panama Papers may have less noble ends, dovetailing with the War on Cash and the imminent threat of massive bail-ins of depositor funds.

The bombshell publication of the "Panama Papers," leaked from a Panama law firm specializing in shell companies, has triggered both outrage and skepticism. In an April 3 article titled "Corporate Media Gatekeepers Protect Western 1% From Panama Leak," UK blogger Craig Murray writes that the whistleblower no doubt had good intentions; but he made the mistake of leaking his 11.5 million documents to the corporate-controlled Western media, which released only those few documents incriminating opponents of Western financial interests. Murray writes:

Do not expect a genuine expose of western capitalism. The dirty secrets of western corporations will remain unpublished.

Expect hits at Russia, Iran and Syria and some tiny "balancing" western country like Iceland. Iceland, of course, was the only country to refuse to bail out its banks, instead throwing its offending bankers in jail.

Pepe Escobar calls the released Panama Papers a "limited hangout." The leak dovetails with the attempt of Transparency International to create a Global Public Beneficial Ownership Registry, which can collect ownership information from governments around the world; and with UK Prime Minister David Cameron's global anti-corruption summit next month. According to *The Economist*, "The Panama papers give him just the platform he needs to persuade other governments, and his own, to turn their tough talk of recent years into action." *The Daily Bell* suspects a coordinated global effort linked to the push to go cashless. It's all about knowing where

the money is and who owns it, in order to tax it, regulate it, "sanction" it, or confiscate it:

Without privacy, authoritarianism flourishes because it is impossible to build and expand private networks that would act as a deterrent...A worldwide transparency regime virtually guarantees abuses and corruption from those in power.

This is a reason why the "cashless society" idea is such a bad one. When no one is able to use cash, financial histories will be easily available via electronic bank records.

Michael Snyder of InvestmentWatchBlog.com also links the Panama Papers with the push to go cashless: ...[W]ith this Panama Paper leak and all its pre-conditioning against tax havens, people aren't realizing yet that very soon, once Negative Interest Rates and Bail-Ins are being openly discussed and prepared for implementation, the whole tax haven or tax dodger discussion in the media will quickly switch from talking about corrupt billionaires and shell companies half way around the world, and instead will be talking about something much closer to home...

In my strong opinion this whole thing is all part of the coming capital control war, which ties directly in with the coming transition to a biometric digital currency, the implementation of Negative Interest Rates, the rollout of large scale systemic bail-ins, and the demonization and eventual criminalization of physical assets that are outside of direct taxation control (which again would be done using the pre-conditioned guise of "tax havens", with physical precious metals and physical cash being the main targets).

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

War on Corruption or War on Savers?

What we may be witnessing here is the 1% going after the 10% of people who, according to German researcher Margrit Kennedy, do not need to borrow but are “net savers.” Today the remaining 90% are “all borrowed up.” Either they are unwilling to borrow more or the banks are unwilling to lend to them, since they are poor credit risks. Who, then, is left to feed the machine that feeds the 1%, and more specifically the 0.001%? The power brokers at the top seem to want it all, and today that means going after those just below them on the financial food chain. The challenge is in squeezing money from people who don't need to borrow. How to legally confiscate their savings?

Enter bail-ins, negative interest, all-digital currencies, and the elimination of “tax havens.”

Bail-ins allow the largest banks to gamble with impunity with their depositors' money. If the banks make bad bets and become insolvent, they can legally confiscate the deposits to balance their books, through an “orderly resolution” scheme of the sort mandated in the Dodd-Frank Act.

Negative interest is a fee or ‘private tax’ on holding funds in the bank.

Eliminating cash prevents the bank runs that these assaults on people's savings would otherwise trigger. Money that exists only as digital entries cannot be withdrawn and stored under a mattress.

Exposing tax havens shows the predators where the money is and who has title to it, facilitating its confiscation and preventing the funding of massive rebellions against confiscation.

Orchestrated at Davos

That could help explain those coordinated developments we've been seeing across the central-bank-controlled world, proliferating particularly after the January summit of the World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland, where the global elite gather to discuss the hot economic issues of the day.

According to one Morgan Stanley attendee, a notable topic this year was the need for “a rapid introduction of a cashless society so that even more negative deposit interest rates could be introduced in Europe to offset likely secular stagnation.” With the use of physical cash curtailed, J.P. Morgan estimates the European Central Bank could ultimately bring interest rates as low as negative 4.5%.

“Secular stagnation,” the official justification for negative interest, means a chronic shortfall in demand: not enough money chasing goods and services. Today virtually all money is created by banks when they make loans; and when old loans are paid off, new ones must be taken out to maintain the money supply. Central banks have traditionally dropped interest rates to stimulate this continual borrowing, but interest rates have now effectively been pushed to zero. The argument is that they can be pushed below zero -- but only if cash withdrawals, and hence bank runs, are not an option.

That is the argument; but as Paul Craig Roberts, former Assistant Secretary of the Treasury for Economic Policy, observes:

The notion is that the economy's poor economic performance is not due to the failure of economic policy but to people hoarding their money. The Federal Reserve and its coterie of economists and ‘presstitutes’ maintain the fiction of too much savings despite the publication of the Federal Reserve's own report that 52% of Americans cannot raise \$400 without selling personal possessions or borrowing the money.

In an article titled “Exposing the Hidden Agenda of Davos 2016”, Zerohedge reports on a flurry of activity during and after Davos related to the push to go cashless. But stimulating demand may just be the cover story for something darker behind this orchestrated effort.

Rescuing the Economy or the Banks?

Of greater concern at Davos than “secular stagnation” was the imminent insolvency of some major banks. Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, writing in January from Davos, quoted William White, former chief economist of the Bank for International Settlements, who warned:

The situation is worse than it was in 2007. Our macroeconomic ammunition to fight downturns is essentially all used up.

...European banks have already admitted to \$1 trillion of non-performing loans: they are heavily exposed to emerging markets and are almost certainly rolling over further bad debts that have never been disclosed.

The European banking system may have to be recapitalized on a scale yet unimagined, and new “bail-in” rules mean that any deposit holder above the guarantee of 100,000 will have to help pay for it. It seems the War on Cash is being waged, not to stimulate the economy, but to save the lucrative private banking scheme at all costs. Quelling the riots likely to result from the mass confiscation of deposits could also underly the heightened push for a global “security state” and for those “anti-corruption” measures designed to determine where the money is and who owns it.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

Postscript: Bail-ins under the new 2016 European Recovery and Resolution Directive began officially today, April 10, in Austria. Ominously, it was in Austria that a major bank bankruptcy triggered the Great Depression in 1931. ***

CONSERVATIVES DEFEND RIGHT OF INHERITANCE – FOR THEMSELVES

David Cameron's mother gave him a £200,000 gift after his father's death which could potentially avoid inheritance tax, his accounts show.

In a first for a UK prime minister, Mr Cameron has released a summary of his tax returns from 2009-15 as he tries to defuse a row over his finances.

The two £100,000 payments were made a year after the PM inherited £300,000 from his father in 2010, papers show.

Ministers said he'd done nothing wrong but Labour said questions remained."

Comment: Conservatives once used to defend both the cultural tradition and the right of individual inheritance,

SOME THOUGHTS ABOUT INHERITANCE by Jeremy Lee

When, in the mid-seventies, the former Queensland Premier Joh Bjelke-Petersen abolished death duties, he was bitterly opposed by some, but applauded by many others. The abolition of death duties had been in the platforms of the Liberals and the old Country Party for most of this century. But few had seriously moved the policy applied. Sir Joh commented after his move that he had been approached by people in his own party to drop the move, even though they had campaigned on the idea. One reason was that the move would be so popular that other States would be forced to follow suit - which, of course, is what happened.

Those who think back to the "death duties" period will remember some of the great injustices - widows hit with impossible demands on homes or farms where they lived, just at the time they were grieving over the death of a husband; farms or businesses that had been in the family for generations plucked out of their hands by the State - there are many examples.

It is a Christian principle built into Magna Carta that debts could not be piled on widows and orphans after the death of a breadwinner. In fact, debts owed by someone ceased to apply after his death. However, there are some who sincerely believe that inheritance is wrong. The A.L.P. and the Greens both include the re-introduction of death duties in their Party policies.

Read also:

<http://alor.org/NewTimes%20Survey/A%20Carbon%20Currency%20Future.htm>

<http://alor.org/Library/Amos%20DJ%20-%20Commonwealth%20Bank.pdf>

http://alor.org/Library/Bank%20of%20England%20Q1_14.pdf

but only for those families which had managed to accumulate some personal property. This restriction very largely to their own propertied class conflicted with natural justice, since the earth and its resources are a free gift to man; it also revealed that they did not really believe in inheritance as a general and inalienable right since they would not concede it to the moneyless proletariat who had been driven off the land; so that, in the end, even 'Conservative' belief in inheritance has withered away, just when technological advance and invention have made it blatantly obvious that, so far as real productivity is concerned, there is ample provision for a large element of inherited wealth for all..." ***

Why do some have such views?

During the last decade, three young men - (two Australians) - have been in the process of taking over huge financial dynasties from their fathers. They are James Packer, Lauchlan Murdoch and Cameron O'Reilly. James Packer is taking over the reins of the empire of Kerry Packer, Australia's richest man. The empire includes the Fairfax press, plus major television networks and other enterprises. Kerry Packer is said to be worth \$3 or \$4 billion. Lauchlan Murdoch is gradually taking over News Limited from his father, Rupert. News Limited spans the globe, and is the biggest media operation the world has ever seen. Cameron O'Reilly is taking over from his father a network which includes Australia's Rural Press Limited, plus the famous Heinz 57 Varieties empires. The dynasties these young men will inherit are not of their own making. There empires are, in each case, their inheritance.

The opponents of inheritance use such examples to illustrate their argument that the right of inheritance entrenches monopolies from generation to generation, building immense wealth in the hands of a minority at the expense of the vast majority, thus enshrining the division between rich and poor. Far better, they argue, to abolish inheritance, starting each individual in life on a "level-playing-field", so that advantage cannot be made into a monopoly. *(continued on next page)*

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9600 0677
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

All electoral comment authorised by Ken Grundy, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000

(continued from previous page)

When you look at the empires and dynasties ruled by some families in the world, there is a rough logic in all this. But there are some deadly traps, too.

The Ten Commandments warn us against “covetousness”. Envy and malice are crippling vices. If we are going to abolish inheritance, we must deny the right of men or women who have worked hard, foregone pleasures that others have enjoyed, and saved and scrimped to pass the “fruits of their labours” to their children. Their position must be no better than the spendthrift who has saved nothing for his children. The person who opposes inheritance must also oppose all advantage - the right to private property, the right to profit from personal effort, and in the end even the talents which make some people more capable in certain directions than others. Any advantage is regarded as unfair.

It is this viewpoint, more than anything else which has led to the socialist view: “Let’s all start without advantage over each other” as the basis of the ownerless, property-less, inheritance-less perception. It is quite understandable that many, contrasting the huge divide between rich and poor, end up in this position. Thus, for the last two or three hundred years, the world has been divided into the violently opposed camps of communism and capitalism. In neither has battered humanity discovered the promise of peace, freedom and brotherhood!

The battle intensified in 1848 with the publication of *The Communist Manifesto*, written by Karl Marx. In this booklet, Marx listed ten points necessary for a Communist society - which included abolition of private property, heavy, progressive taxation, and the abolition of the right of inheritance. It was Marx’s belief that this would produce a just, happy and balanced society. He also railed against the idea of a creative God. Religion was, he declared, the “opiate of the people.” He went further in advocating the abolition of the family. History has shown how wrong he was!

The inheritance principle is a Christian idea. “*A good man leaves an inheritance to his children’s children,*” says the book of Proverbs (13:22) Christ went much further than this. He asked His listeners, if an earthly father gives good things to his children, how much more would our heavenly Father do so? “*What man is there of you, whom if his son ask for bread, will he give him a stone? Or if he ask for a fish will he give him a serpent? If ye then, being evil, know how to give good gifts to your children, how much more shall your Father which is in heaven give good things to them that ask Him?*” (Matt. Ch. 7:9,10,11)

We need to think about this very carefully, because the nation of Australia, created by God and inhabited by the men, women and children He also created, have little inheritance and are in danger of losing their country. Because His gift is freedom, God does not interfere in the running of the Reserve Bank and the Taxation Office. But Christ made it clear that God’s provision was available to ALL nations and peoples if they chose to apply. Just as human parents make a WILL AND TESTAMENT about what they leave to their children, so God has made His WILL AND TESTAMENT. Anybody interested can get a copy, for it is, and has been for many years, the biggest seller in the world. It has been translated into more languages than any other work, and many have died trying to pass it on to those who have not yet read it.

In his WILL AND TESTAMENT provided by God, there is huge emphasis on the idea that, if we live the way God intended, there is complete provision for EVERYONE wishing to avail themselves of the offer. Such applicants must meet two conditions of eligibility - they must love God their father, and love each other! Not so very hard, you would think? Any earthly parents would hope that their children love them, and also love each other.

Once they meet these criteria, God’s children are in line for an inheritance; and, what’s more, don’t even have to work for it! If they did, it would no longer be an inheritance, but a wage!

Listen to these words: “*Behold the fowls of the air; they sow not, neither do they reap, nor gather into barns; yet your Heavenly Father feedeth them. Are ye not much better than they? . . . Consider the lilies of the field, how they grow; they toil not, neither do they spin. And yet I say unto you, that even Solomon in all his glory was not arrayed like one of these. Wherefore, if God so clothe the grass of the field, which today is, and tomorrow is cast into the oven, shall He not much more clothe you, O ye of little faith? . . . Your Heavenly Father knoweth that ye have need of all these things. But seek ye first the Kingdom of God and His righteousness; and all these things shall be added unto you . . .*” (Matthew 6:20-33)

There’s a direct quote from the WILL AND TESTAMENT of our Father! Which raises the question, “What’s this Kingdom He mentions?”

It is something that happens to people and nations when they accept the “conditions of eligibility” necessary for the inheritance. Christ taught us to work and pray for the arrival of His Kingdom. It was, and is, perfectly possible, if we want it enough. “*Thy Kingdom come, on earth as it is in Heaven . . .*” however, Christ also taught that it would not arrive all at once, but gradually over a period of time, as people heard the message,

(continued from previous page)

and opened their minds and spirits to how big it was. Therefore, he spent most of His time teaching about it, in the form of stories, or, as they are called, parables.

He spoke more about this growing Kingdom, which started the moment He rose from the dead, than anything else. He warned that there would be those who would oppose it; who preferred power and privilege; and that there would be a lot of suffering before it brought universal peace to the Earth. He also said that we should not be daunted, because He would always be available, and that the “gates of hell” would not prevail against those who had joined forces with Him.

“The kingdom is like a grain of mustard seed, which eventually grew to overshadow all others.”... “The Kingdom is like unto leaven, which a women took, and hid in three measures of meal, till the whole was leavened...” The Kingdom is like a treasure hidden in a field...” The Kingdom is like a net, cast into the sea, gathering every kind...”

Let’s just re-cap.

Firstly, this is a world of abundance, not scarcity.
Second, if we discover and apply God’s rules there is enough for everybody.
Thirdly, private property and inheritance are part of the process. If you cannot own anything, you cannot bequeath anything to your children.
Fourthly, no man-made system has ever produced lasting freedom and justice.
Fifthly, it applies not only to individuals, but to nations. Now that needs some thinking about. How would a nation apply the inheritance principle? The answer is through the acceptance of grace, which is another way of saying “something for nothing”.

A lot of economists teach there is no such thing. “There’s no such thing as a free lunch,” is the credo of modern economics. When human beings discover how God’s creation works, and ‘invent’ things, this knowledge is passed to succeeding generations in the form of education. How many travel on four wheels? How many can truthfully claim to have invented the wheel? No one actually knows who invented the wheel. It was certainly long before the time of Christ. The ancient Egyptians, the

Greeks and the Romans used chariots. Our generation got the wheel principle for nothing! Every day of every week of every month of every year of every century of history there have been new inventions, which are simply discoveries of how Creation works. We allow inventors to profit from their inventions through patents. But these have a “use-by” date. After that they belong to everyone. We call it our heritage, which is another way of saying our “inheritance”.

Every invention is essentially a “labour-saving” device. If we keep discovering and banking up labour-saving discoveries, what’s going to happen to labour? Is it possible we are now in a period where the “Curse of Adam” is being removed? Christ made this statement: *“Come unto Me, all ye who labour, and I will give you rest.”* Think about that one!

If “labour” was the punishment placed on the sins of Adam, does forgiveness of sin remove the punishment?

Let’s look at one little example - the State of Alaska. In that barren frozen wasteland round the city of Anchorage, they have one enormous advantage - oil. The oil was created by God. Man’s discoveries produced techniques for identifying where it was, and how to recover it. The techniques were the identification of scientific and engineering principles which apply to God’s creation. Now the oil is “on-tap”, the people of Alaska have decided that, after those who work in the industry get their fair share for their efforts, the remaining oil revenue is GIVEN to the men, women and children of Alaska as a yearly dividend.

“Give us this day our daily bread.” “Give us this year our annual oil.” Each person gets his or her share in the form of dollars - man-made tickets which mean nothing if there is no bread or oil. Could the principle operating in Alaska offer us something we could use elsewhere?

And how would it work? For a proper understanding this means we have to look at Money. Scripture tells us that *“the love of money is the root of all evil.”* Could Christian principles applied to money offer us the breakthrough? Perhaps we should have a look at the WILL AND TESTAMENT of the Creator to discover the answer. ***

BASIC FUND

The fund has exceeded \$10k, with the latest balance showing \$10 618. The good work will continue with more generous help from supporters. The next milestone will be the \$20k mark. Thank you to those who have helped. - ND

BEQUESTS

We have received some interest from those wishing to remember the League in their Will. Information providing details of the most appropriate way to do this is available from Head Office in Melbourne.
- ND

LOCAL CURRENCIES by Louis Cook

Over many years supporters and others have been concerned about money and its creation and this has led on to various schemes for alternative systems to challenge the banks and their entrenched system of control.

There has been very little success that I am aware of, but exploration continues because something must be done to rescue the individual from their (the banks) Power.

There have been 'LETS' schemes and 'credos' with many others ideas put forth, but few, if any, a resounding success. Look out if they were!

The 'Transition Towns' movement started a fairly good exchange system and details can be found in the 'Transition Towns Handbook' Australian Edition.

See here: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transition_town
Generally, these groups have 'leftist biases'; but they should not be ignored and we should try and move them in OUR direction.

Yesterday, I was in Shepparton and spoke with a person who appears to have an excellent 'LOCAL' 'currency exchange' system operating, and being impressed invested some on my 'orthodox' dollars in the 'Ducats' scheme.

It works well, very little bookwork, has great tokens, is local, backed by many local businesses' and industry, has

'built-in' incentive, and much more. It is new and been many years in preparation but the basic research has now been done and you will find some more details (but not the finer points I have gleaned in conversation) on the links below.

The person who set the scheme going is not a 'social creditor' but their instincts are in the right place. They do not understand credit as we do, nor do I suspect would have Christian leanings but this does not matter as 'The Works' are good! I have known them casually for a number of years and yesterday (because of the currency scheme) the first time we have had any meaningful discussion

I would not suggest you rush out and try to emulate this work because it is a sure way to have your fingers burnt but quietly become familiar with the system because it may become a necessary tool to save your local community in case of a banking collapse or forced change to a digital carbon currency and/or cashless society. Much more can be said on this project but will leave the foregoing to 'sink-in' and answer any questions you will have. Please don't be negative!

Cheers, Lou

<http://www.myshepparton.com.au/ducats-currency.html>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Local_currency

POLITICAL CORRECTNESS V FREEDOM OF RELIGION by Ian Wilson LL.B.

The politically correct society, is taking some surprising twists and turns. For example the University of Sydney Student Union has threatened to deregister the universities evangelical union. You see the evangelical union requires that members believe that "Jesus Christ is Lord". That would seem a reasonable thing for an evangelical union to believe, because what else would and "evangelical" Christian be about?

However, the Student Union says that the Jesus-vow is contrary to its prohibition against discrimination on religious grounds!

This line of reasoning is clearly flawed because the point of a prohibition against discrimination on religious grounds is to allow those who wish to associate with

like-minded (e.g. Moslems, Catholics, atheists, feminists et cetera) to do so. Freedom of association would fail, utterly, if these groups were flooded by critics (e.g. atheist group by theist, feminist support group by Return of Kings types). Henry Ergas (*The Australian*, April 11, 2016, p.12) wrote an inspiring article about this saying that the manic anti-discrimination worldview held by the Student Union, is a common one which seeks to actually "deny the legitimacy of any particularity" and level diversity. If followed it would mean that Evangelical unions would effectively cease to exist, and in general "associative life is no longer feasible".

Strangely enough de-socialism seems to be the endgame of socialism.

AUSTRALIA AND CHINA: TRADE COOPERATION AND SECURITY ANXIETY by James Reed

One of the big problems with the economic fundamentalism/Jihadist world view, where issues of money trump every issue, is that ultimately concerns of national security are downplayed. At present it is "Australia in China Week" with all the economic circuses that go with that. But *The Australian* (April 11, 2016, p.1) reports that US and Australian intelligence analysts are concerned that China is about to pursue radical action in the South China Sea. The actions could involve dynamiting the Scarborough Shoals to build military facilities or even more threatening, China may unilaterally declare that there is an air defence
ON TARGET

identification zone over the entire South China Sea. Both moves move the region closer to "China War". *The Australian* article meekly states that "the threat of a raft of security crises demonstrates how challenging it is for Australia to ride simultaneously the two horses of its relationship with China: trade cooperation and security anxiety". Indeed, it is trade itself which has fed the Dragon so that now, as in *The Hobbit* movie, it is a concern to all its neighbours. If humanity is to survive, economics in all shapes and sizes needs to be de-elevated in status so that it is only one factor among many - and not the most important one - in human affairs.

LIVING IN A REALLY SMALL HOUSE IS A METAPHOR FOR LIFE by Uncle Len who still loves his shed

We all know that men, real men, love their sheds.

To people who do not know me, the star of this website (as in “star dropper”), having lost my cleaning job, and being too old and incompetent to secure any other work, as well as suffering from psycho-political neurological degeneracy disorder, I have retreated to an old friends old toolshed, where I live with my brothers, the bugs.

However, there are more establishment-type figures out there who also see the merits of living in really small spaces. (*The Australian*, April 4, 2016, p.3)

Tom Dennis, out in Victoria’s Western District, is promoting tiny houses as part of a farm tourism idea to get people close to nature.

ONLY \$8.00 POSTED

“Who Called the Cook a Bastard”

by Brig. C. Stanton Hicks - a personal account of a one man campaign to improve the feeding of the soldier - \$8.00 posted to anywhere in Australia from PO Box 27 Happy Valley SA 5159

OUR POLICY

To promote service to the Christian revelation of God, loyalty to the Australian Constitutional Monarchy, and maximum co-operation between subjects of the Crown Commonwealth of Nations.

To defend the free Society and its institutions -- private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.

To promote financial policies which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, either described as public or private. To encourage all electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting natural (God’s) laws, against policies of rape and waste.

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

People can live in these small but cosy timber and corrugated iron mobile homes, and get to see how a farm ticks over at the ground level, so to speak.

The article says that all of this taps into “the global trend towards downsizing, decluttering, de-stressing and simple living”. Amen to that.

And to think that Uncle Len, your friendly neighbourhood hobo, started this trend, as documented in the pages of this noble site, if noble sites have “pages”.

At times I thought that I was just part of the garbage of the universe, but now I know that the Creator did have a splendid purpose for me - to teach people how to be successful failures.

PS I am not sure what the word “metaphor” means, but probably most English lit graduates today won’t either.

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: — <http://alor.org/>

When ordering journals, ‘On Target’ and ‘New Times Survey’ – Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to – ‘ALOR Journals’

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to -- Heritage Bookshop Services’

For donations to the League please make payments to-- ‘Australian League of Rights’ or ‘ALOR’

Books are available at meetings or by mail order from the following addresses:

Victoria, Tasmania:

Heritage Bookshop,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street,
Melbourne, 3000
(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).
Phone: (03) 9600 0677

South Australia:

Heritage Book Mailing Service,
P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159 SA
Phone: (08) 7123 7131;

All Other States:

To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: <http://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Note: The views expressed in these articles are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official position or policy of the Australian League of Rights.